LETTERS

From Stereodefined Cyclobutenes to Dienes: Total Syntheses of leodomycin D and the Southern Fragment of Macrolactin A

Caroline Souris, Antonio Misale,[†] Yong Chen,[†] Marco Luparia,[‡] and Nuno Maulide*

University of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Währinger Straße 38, 1090 Vienna, Austria

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A copper-promoted flexible synthesis of cyclobutenes carrying simple alkyl chains, enabling even the most hindered nucleophiles to be employed, has been developed. The versatility of this approach was exemplified by a short total synthesis of ieodomycin D and a straightforward preparation of the southeastern fragment of macrolactin A. The latter features a late-stage, double cyclobutene electrocyclic ring opening that directly delivers a bis-diene of defined geometry.



P olyene motifs are widely represented in the skeleton of biologically active compounds such as the eicosanoids,¹ the retinoids,² and the macrolides,³ a large group comprising over 200 members. To date, despite significant efforts from the synthetic community in the area of diene synthesis, the stereoselective preparation of substituted 1,3-butadienes remains a challenge.⁴ Nature decorates these polyenes in a variety of fascinating patterns, among which 1,3-butadienoic carboxylates and diene carbinol fragments represent an important subset of structural units. The latter find representation in natural products of varying size and molecular weight (Figure 1). In particular, the ieodomycins are extracted





from marine bacteria of the *Bacillus* species,⁵ ubiquitous and abundant microorganisms in the marine ecosystem. They were isolated in 2011 by the group of Shin, who additionally determined their absolute configuration and identified their biological targets.⁵ One total synthesis of this natural product has been reported, delivering ieodomycin D **1** in five linear

steps.⁶ We were drawn toward this natural product following our initial studies on the stereoselective synthesis of dienes by 4π -electrocyclic ring opening of preformed cyclobutenes of defined stereochemistry⁷ and, thus, proposed that *trans*cyclobutene **2** might be the direct precursor of **1**. In the retrosynthetic sense, we further speculated that a nucleophilic ring opening of the bicyclolactone **3** with a properly functionalized (and enantiopure) nucleophile might directly afford the requisite *trans*-substituted cyclobutene **2** (Scheme **1**).





Our group has previously explored catalytic nucleophilic ring opening of **3** employing allylic alkylation reactions with stabilized nucleophiles,⁸ allylborane derivatives,^{9a} and dialkyl zinc reagents.^{9b} However, none of those methods is suitable for the direct introduction of a long alkyl chain such as the one required for expeditious conversion of **3** into **2**. We thus turned our attention to the potential use of organocopper reagents.¹⁰ Although the considerable reactivity of both such reagents and the highly strained lactone **3** could conceivably lead to an unselective reaction, our preliminary experiments met with encouraging results.

As shown in Scheme 2, simple exposure of lactone 3 to the combined action of EtMgBr, CuCN, and LiCl smoothly delivered the ethyl-substituted product 4. Importantly, 4 was

Received: July 25, 2015 Published: September 9, 2015 Scheme 2. Preliminary Result for the Alkylation of Lactone 3 with an Organocopper Reagent



formed as a single, *trans*-configured diastereoisomer (as ascertained by crude ¹H NMR analysis) in high yield.

Spurred by this initial success, we proceeded to examine the scope of the transformation. As shown in Table 1, organocopper reagents appear to constitute very valuable tools to achieve the straightforward appendage of varied alkyl and cycloalkyl functionalities to the cyclobutene ring. Remarkably, very congested tertiary alkyl groups could be introduced (cf.

Table 1. Substrate Scope of the Diastereoselective Cuprate Alkylations a



^{*a*}All products were isolated and characterized as the corresponding benzylamides. dr >95:5 for **2a-2j** as determined by crude ¹H NMR analysis. **2b** was isolated as an inseparable 3:1 mixture with the corresponding diene. For detailed reaction conditions, see the Supporting Information. ^{*b*}RMgCl. ^{*c*}RMgBr. ^{*d*}RZnBr. ^{*e*}RZnI. ^{*f*}1:1 mixture of diastereomers. 2g/h); the successful use of *t*-BuMgCl in a nucleophilic C–C bond forming event is especially noteworthy.¹¹ Furthermore, this process is compatible with functionalized heteroatom substituents based on oxygen or nitrogen (cf. 2i–k), delivering functionalities ripe for further synthetic elaboration. The exclusive formation of the *trans*-disubstituted cyclobutene products can be assumed to result from a nucleophilic attack on lactone 3 from the face opposite to the carboxylate leaving group.¹²

With this methodology in hand, we validated our original blueprint for the synthesis of ieodomycin D, 1. As shown in Scheme 3, alkylation of lactone 3 with the organometallic



reagent obtained by successive zincation and copper transmetalation of (+)-6 delivered 2k in 98% yield. Further removal of the TIPS protecting group under mild acidic conditions in methanol eventually led to concomitant esterification of the carboxylic acid moiety. (-)-Ieodomycin D was finally obtained after hydrolysis of the methyl ester and thermolysis of the cyclobutene moiety. This expeditious route delivered (-)-1 in only five steps from readily available alcohol (+)- S^{13} and 43% overall yield.

An interesting observation is that ieodomycin D bears an alkyl chain identical to the one encompassed in the southern fragment of the macrolactins.¹⁴ Aware of this, we hypothesized that a strategy related to that depicted in Scheme 3 might be employed for the preparation of the southeastern fragment of macrolactin A. This led us to the generic plan outlined in Scheme 4.





Our approach relies on the recognition of two differently configured diene moieties, which accordingly require different strategies for their synthesis. The (E,E)-diene carbinol fragment would arise through electrocyclic ring opening of a *trans*-substituted cyclobutene (derived from lactone 3). For the challenging (Z,E)-dienoic portion we anticipated the use of *cis*-chlorocarboxylic acid 8.⁷

Letter

Scheme 5. Synthesis of the Southeastern Fragment of Macrolactin A



protecting group in acidic methanol simultaneously achieves esterification of the carboxylic acid moiety, leading to 9 in 73% yield. Taking advantage of the free alcohol, coupling with the aforementioned cis-chlorocyclobutene 8 could now be attempted. In the event, the use of common activating reagents for ester formation, such as EDCI (HOBt, DMAP),¹⁴ proved to be inefficient in this reaction and only starting material was recovered. On the other hand, N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) together with 10 mol % of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP)¹⁵ allowed the formation of the desired product, although in less than 10% yield. We next turned our attention to the use of Yamaguchi's reagent for coupling.¹⁶ Nevertheless, while delivering the desired ester in moderate to good yields, these reaction conditions led to extensive epimerization of the α -center, generating a mixture of *cis*-cyclobutene 10 and its thermodynamically more stable trans-isomer (not shown). It should be emphasized that the cis-configuration that is encoded in 8 is a crucial prerequisite for being able to access a (Z,E)dienyl carboxylate by thermal, conrotatory electrocyclic ring opening.

Realizing that protocols relying on acid activation were inevitably prone to some degree of deleterious epimerization, we considered the use of an esterification procedure where the alcohol moiety undergoes activation. We thus turned our attention to the use of a Mitsunobu-type esterification¹⁷ and, to our delight, observed that the desired isomer 10 was exclusively produced under these reaction conditions when benzene was used as solvent.¹⁸

Compound 10 interestingly carries two disubstituted cyclobutene moieties, one with a cis-arrangement (blue in the Scheme) and the other with a trans-configuration (red in the Scheme). Their structural assignment was supported by J coupling analysis.¹⁸ It was anticipated that electrocyclic ring opening of intermediate 10 would simultaneously deliver two diene moieties of differing olefinic geometry. In the event, thermolysis of 10 smoothly delivered product 11, embodying the entire southeastern region of macrolactin A, in 55% yield over two steps and 94% ee. The striking conciseness of this strategy bodes well for future synthetic endeavors.

In summary, we have developed the addition of organocopper reagents to lactone 3 as a tool enabling the expeditious preparation of cyclobutenes carrying simple alkyl chains. In this process, even the most hindered organocopper reagents are

competent nucleophiles, as exemplified by the successful application of tBuMgCl in that role. The versatility of this approach was then exemplified by two synthesis case studies. In the first, ieodomycin D was prepared in a short sequence involving the use of a functionalized, optically pure organocopper reagent. That route could be further capitalized for the straightforward preparation of the southeastern fragment of macrolactin A, featuring a late-stage, double cyclobutene electrocyclic ring opening that directly delivers a bis-diene of defined geometry.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02149.

General procedures; NMR spectra and GC/HPLC traces (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: nuno.maulide@univie.ac.at.

Present Address

[‡]Aptuit Srl, via A. Fleming 4, 37135 Verona, Italy.

Author Contributions

[†]A.M. and Y.C. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the ERC (StG 278872, FLATOUT), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant MA 4861/3-1), and the Alexander van Humboldt Foundation (Postdoctoral Fellowship to M.L.). We are also grateful for support by the University of Vienna and the Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, where parts of this research were carried out.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Leukotrienes and Lipoxygenase; Rokach, J., Ed.; Elsevier: NewYork, 1989. (b) Green, R. H.; Lambeth, P. F. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 1687-1721.

(2) (a) The Retinoids; Born, M. B., Roberts, A. B., Goodman, D. S., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1984; Vols. 1 and 2. (b) The Retinoids: Biology, Chemistry and Medicine, 2nd ed.; Born, M. B., Roberts, A. B., Goodman, D. S., Eds.; Raven: New York, 1993. (c) Chemistry and Biology of Synthetic Retinoids; Dawson, M. L., Okamura, W. H., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1990.

(3) (a) Semlyen, J. A. Large Ring Molecules; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1996. (b) Omura, S., Tanaka, H. In Macrolide Antibiotics: Chemistry, Biology and Practice; Omura, S., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1984; p 351.

(4) (a) The Chemistry of Dienes and Polyenes; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1997. (b) Nicolaou, K. C., Snyder, S. A. Classics in Total Synthesis II: More Targets, Strategies; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003. (c) De Paolis, M.; Chataigner, I.; Maddaluno, J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2012, 327, 87-146.

(5) Mondol, M. M. M.; Kim, J. H.; Lee, M. A.; Tareq, F. S.; Lee, H. S.; Lee, Y. J.; Shin, H. J. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 1606-1612.

(6) For previous syntheses of ieodomycin C and D, see: (a) Tungen, J. E.; Aursnes, M.; Hansen, T. V. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 3793-3797. (b) Chinnababu, B.; Purushotham Reddy, S.; Kumar Reddy, D.; Chandra Rao, D.; Venkateswarlu, Y. Synthesis 2012, 2012, 311-315.

Organic Letters

For the previous synthesis of ieodomycin A and B, see: Nageswara Rao, N.; Meshram, H. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 4544–4546.

(7) (a) Souris, C.; Frébault, F.; Patel, A.; Audisio, D.; Houk, K. N.; Maulide, N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3242–3245. (b) Souris, C.; Frébault, F.; Audisio, D.; Farès, C.; Maulide, N. Synlett 2013, 24, 1286–1290.
(c) Souris, C.; Luparia, M.; Frébault, F.; Audisio, D.; Farès, C.; Goddard, R.; Maulide, N. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 6566–6570.

(8) (a) Luparia, M.; Oliveira, M. T.; Audisio, D.; Frébault, F.; Goddard, R.; Maulide, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 12631– 12635. (b) Audisio, D.; Gopakumar, G.; Xie, L. G.; Alves, L. G.; Wirtz, C.; Martins, A. M.; Thiel, W.; Farès, C.; Maulide, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 6313–6316. (c) Audisio, D.; Luparia, M.; Oliveira, M. T.; Klütt, D.; Maulide, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 7314–7317.

(9) (a) Niyomchon, S.; Audisio, D.; Luparia, M.; Maulide, N. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2318–2321. (b) Misale, A.; Niyomchon, S.; Luparia, M.; Maulide, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7068–7073.

(10) (a) Yeh, M. C. P.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2395–2396. (b) Majid, T. N.; Yeh, M. C. P.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5069–5072.

(11) (a) Dieter, R. K.; Guo, F. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2087–2090.
(b) Dieter, R. K.; Picado, A. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 11125–11138.
See also: (c) Müller, D. S.; Untiedt, N. L.; Dieskau, A. P.; Lackner, G. L.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 660–663.

(12) (a) Sekiya, K.; Nakamura, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 5155–5156. (b) Harrington-Frost, N.; Leuser, H.; Calaza, M. I.; Kneisel, F. F.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 2111–2114. (c) Corey, E. J.; Boaz, N. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 3063–3066.

(13) Hoge, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9920-9921.

(14) For total syntheses of macrolactins, see: (a) Smith, A. B., III; Ott, G. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 13095–13096. (b) Kim, Y.; Singer, R. A.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 1261– 1263. (c) Marino, J. P.; McClure, M. S.; Holub, D. P.; Comasseto, J. V.; Tucci, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 1664–1668. For selected examples of synthesis of fragments of macrolactin A, see: (d) Li, S.; Donaldson, W. A. Synthesis **2003**, 13, 2064–2068. (e) Bonini, C.; Chiummiento, L.; Pullez, M.; Colobert, F.; Solladié, G. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 69, 5015–5022. (f) Yadav, J. S.; Gupta, M.; Prathap, I. Synthesis **2007**, 2007, 1343–1348. (g) Bonini, C.; Chiummiento, L.; Videtta, V.; Colobert, F.; Solladié, G. Synlett **2006**, 2006, 2427–2430. For the isolation and characterization of the macrolactins, see: (h) Lu, X. L.; Xu, Q. Z.; Liu, X. Y.; Cao, X.; Ni, K. Y.; Jiao, B. H. Chem. Biodiversity **2008**, 5, 1669–1674. (i) Gustafson, K.; Roman, M.; Fenical, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 7519–7524.

(15) Neises, B.; Steglich, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 522-524.

(16) Dhimitruka, I.; SantaLucia, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 47-50.

(17) (a) Hughes, D. L.; Reamer, R. A.; Bergan, J. J.; Grabowski, E. J.
J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6487–6491. (b) But, T. Y. S.; Toy, P.
H. Chem. - Asian J. 2007, 2, 1340–1355. (c) Swamy, K. C. K.; Kumar,

N. N. B.; Kumar, K. V. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2551–2651.

(18) See the Supporting Information for further details.